

# Math 255B Lecture 12 Notes

Daniel Raban

February 3, 2020

## 1 Extending Symmetric Operators to Self-Adjoint Operators

### 1.1 Graph of the adjoint of a symmetric operator

Suppose we have a closed, symmetric, densely defined operator  $S : D(S) \rightarrow H$ . We introduced the **deficiency subspaces**  $D_{\pm} = (\text{Im}(S \pm i))^{\perp} = \ker(S^* \mp i)$  and the graphs  $\widehat{D}_{\pm} = G(S^*)|_{D_{\pm}}$ .

Last time, we were proving the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $S$  be closed, symmetric, and densely defined. Then*

$$G(S^*) = G(S) \oplus \widehat{D}_+ \oplus \widehat{D}_-,$$

where the direct sum is orthogonal.

*Proof.* It remains to show that if  $(y, S^*y) \perp G(S), \widehat{D}_{\pm}$ , then  $y = 0$ .

First, if  $(y, S^*y) \perp G(S)$ , then  $\langle (y, S^*y), (x, Sx) \rangle = 0$  for all  $x \in D(S)$ . Then  $\langle Sx, S^*y \rangle + \langle x, y \rangle = 0$  for all  $x \in D(S)$ . So  $S^*y \in D(S^*)$  and  $S^*(S^*y) = -y$ . So  $((S^*)^2 + 1)y = 0$ . We get  $(S^* - i)(S^* + i)y = 0$ , so  $(S^* + i)y \in D_+$ .

If  $(y, S^*y) \perp \widehat{D}_+$ , then  $\langle (y, S^*y), (x, ix) \rangle = 0$  for all  $x \in D_+$ . We get  $\langle y, x \rangle + \langle S^*y, ix \rangle = 0$ , so  $-i \langle (S^* + i)y, x \rangle = 0$  for all  $x \in D_+$ . So  $(S^* + i)y = 0$ .

Similarly,  $(S^* - i)y \in D_-$  (changing the order in the factorization). Then  $(y, S^*y) \perp \widehat{D}_-$ , so  $(S^* - i)y = 0$ . So we get  $y = 0$ .  $\square$

### 1.2 Conditions for extending symmetric operators

**Corollary 1.1.** *A symmetric, closed operator  $S : D(S) \rightarrow H$  is self-adjoint if and only if the deficiency indices  $n_+ = n_- = 0$ , or equivalently,  $\text{Im}(S \pm i) = H$ . Equivalently, the Cayley transform of  $S$  is unitary :  $H \rightarrow H$ .*

In general, we have the following:

**Corollary 1.2.** *A symmetric, closed operator  $S : D(S) \rightarrow H$  has a self-adjoint extension if and only if the Cayley transform  $T$  can be extended to a unitary map:  $H \rightarrow H$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from our correspondence between symmetric operators and their Cayley transforms.  $\square$

Using the full strength of this result we have proven, we get the original result of von Neumann's extension theory.

**Theorem 1.2** (von Neumann). *A closed, densely defined, symmetric operator  $S : H \rightarrow H$  has a self-adjoint extension if and only if the deficiency indices are equal.*

*Proof.* Assume first that  $T$  can be extended to a unitary map  $U : H \rightarrow H$  (so  $U|_{\text{Im}(S-i)} = T$ ). Write  $H = D(T) \oplus D_-$  and  $H = \text{Im}(T) \oplus D_+$  (orthogonal decompositions). It follows that  $U|_{D_-} : D_- \rightarrow D_+$  is a bijection, so the deficiency indices are equal:  $n_- = n_+$ .

Conversely, assume that  $n_- = n_+$ . Let  $(e_j^+)_{j \in J}, (e_j^-)_{j \in J}$  be orthonormal bases for  $D_+$  and  $D_-$ , respectively. Let  $T_1 : D_- \rightarrow D_+$  take  $\sum_{j \in J} x_j e_j^- \mapsto \sum_{j \in J} x_j e_j^+$ .  $T_1$  is unitary, so the map  $U : H \rightarrow H$  sending  $(y + z) \mapsto Ty + T_1 z$  (where  $y \in D(T), z \in D_-$ ) is a unitary extension of  $T$ .  $\square$

**Remark 1.1.** We say that closed, symmetric operator  $S$  is **maximal** if it has no strict symmetric extension. If  $S$  is self-adjoint, it is maximal. In general,  $S$  is maximal if and only if at least one of the deficiency indices equals 0.

### 1.3 Example: Extending the Schrödinger operator

**Example 1.1.** The Schrödinger operator:  $H = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and  $P = -\Delta + V(x)$ , where  $V \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R})$ . Equipped with the domain  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $P$  becomes symmetric and densely defined.

We claim that  $P$  has a self-adjoint extension. We have to check that  $n_+ = \dim \ker(P^* - i) = \dim \ker(P^* + i) = n_-$ . Here,  $D(P^*) = \{u \in L^2 : Pu \in L^2\}$ , where  $Pu$  is taken in the sense of distributions;  $Vu \in L^1_{\text{loc}}$ , so it makes sense as a distribution. The complex conjugation map  $\Gamma : L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  sending  $u \mapsto \bar{u}$  satisfies:  $\Gamma(D(P^*)) \subseteq D(P^*)$  and  $[\Gamma, P^*] = 0$ . Since  $\Gamma : D_- \rightarrow D_+$  is a bijection, the deficiency indices are equal. (Here, we use that  $P$  is real.)